Circuit-based framework for understanding neurotransmitter and risk gene interactions in schizophrenia
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Many risk genes interact synergistically to produce schizophrenia and many neurotransmitter interactions have been implicated. We have developed a circuit-based framework for understanding gene and neurotransmitter interactions. NMDAR hypofunction has been implicated in schizophrenia because NMDAR antagonists reproduce symptoms of the disease. One action of antagonists is to reduce the excitation of fast-spiking interneurons, resulting in disinhibition of pyramidal cells. Overactive pyramidal cells, notably those in the hippocampus, can drive a hyperdopaminergic state that produces psychosis. Additional aspects of interneuron function can be understood in this framework, as follows. (i) In animal models, NMDAR antagonists reduce parvalbumin and GAD67, as found in schizophrenia. These changes produce further disinhibition and can be viewed as the aberrant response of a homeostatic system having a faulty activity sensor (the NMDAR). (ii) Disinhibition decreases the power of gamma oscillation and might thereby produce negative and cognitive symptoms. (iii) Nicotine enhances the output of interneurons, and might thereby contribute to its therapeutic effect in schizophrenia.

Introduction
Schizophrenia affects nearly 1% of the population [1]. Clinically, the disorder is characterized by positive symptoms (psychosis, hallucinations and paranoia), negative symptoms (flat affect, poor attention, lack of motivation and deficits in social function) and cognitive deficits. Population, family and twin studies indicate that schizophrenia is highly heritable, but no single gene has a strong effect. Rather, the disorder is due to the synergistic interaction of multiple genes and environmental factors [2]. Recent association and linkage studies have identified over a dozen risk genes for schizophrenia [3]. Another line of research has focused on neurotransmitter systems and, again, the evidence, rather than identifying a single factor, points to abnormalities in multiple systems: glutamate, GABA, dopamine and acetylcholine have all been implicated. There is therefore a strong need for an integrative approach to explain how multiple genes and neurotransmitters can interact in a synergistic way to produce the disorder. In this review, we will describe neural circuitry that provides a framework for understanding many of these interactions. Our description builds on several previous integrative approaches [4,5] but extends that work in several ways, notably by suggesting a systems-level explanation for the changes in GABAergic interneurons that occur in schizophrenia.

GABA hypofunction
Studies of postmortem brain tissue have provided strong evidence that the GABAergic system is impaired in schizophrenia (this is termed hypofunction). These studies showed reductions in the concentration of cortical GABA [6] and the activity of glutamate decarboxylase (GAD) [7], the enzyme that synthesizes GABA. These observations were confirmed and extended in subsequent studies showing alteration in several presynaptic components of the GABAergic system [8–14]. The GABA deficit does not affect all classes of cortical GABAergic interneurons equally [15], but is restricted mainly to the basket and chandelier type of interneurons [16,17]. These two types have fast-spiking properties, contain the Ca2+-binding protein parvalbumin, and synapse on the perisomatic region of pyramidal cells. Because they target the spike-initiating region of neurons, fast-spiking interneurons are thought to have a key role in...
controlling the overall firing properties of brain networks. Although there might be a modest reduction in the number of interneurons, the major changes are in the concentration of particular proteins, notably GAD67 and parvalbumin [18,19]. Such changes are found in many cortical regions [20] and in the hippocampus [12,17], particularly in CA2/3 and the stratum oriens of CA1 [21]. A reduction in GABA synthesis and release would be expected to produce a compensatory upregulation of postsynaptic GABA receptors, and there is now clear evidence for such compensation [15,22–25].

The existence of GABAergic deficits in schizophrenia is supported by experiments using noninvasive methods. GABA can be measured in the human brain by magnetic resonance spectroscopy and has been shown to be reduced in schizophrenia [26]. Furthermore, inhibitory action, as measured by transcranial magnetic stimulation [27], is reduced. These experiments, taken together with the pathophysiology, strongly suggest that the GABA system is compromised in schizophrenia. We will return later to the origin and functional consequences of these changes.

**NMDA hypofunction**

The NMDA hypofunction theory of schizophrenia (reduced NMDA channel function) is based on two findings: (i) dissociative anesthetics (PCP, MK801 and ketamine) are antagonists of NMDA receptors, and (ii) when abused, these drugs induce a condition that resembles schizophrenia [28]. In laboratory experiments, a subanesthetic dose of ketamine given to normal volunteers induces the symptoms of schizophrenia more effectively than any other known drug [29–32]. NMDA antagonists reproduce both negative and positive symptoms, as well as many of the cognitive deficits associated with the disease. By contrast, amphetamine, a drug that increases dopamine release, induces only positive symptoms. In patients with schizophrenia, ketamine strongly exacerbates their symptoms [28,33].

More recent work has used genetic methods to produce NMDA hypofunction in rodent models. A genetically induced reduction of the NR1 subunit of the NMDA channel [34] resulted in deficits in attention, impaired social behavior and cognitive symptoms consistent with those in schizophrenia. Similar results were obtained by altering the glycine binding site on the NR1 subunit, a site that must be occupied by glycine or D-serine for the NMDA channel to open [35].

Direct evidence for altered NMDA function in schizophrenia comes from two lines of investigation. An evoked potential generated in the supra-granular layer of primary auditory cortex called mismatch negativity [36] is reduced in schizophrenia [37]. Source-sink analysis of monkey cortex shows that this potential is caused by current through NMDA channels. Thus, the reduction in mismatch negativity is an indication of NMDA hypofunction. Other work using double in situ hybridization on postmortem tissue shows a reduction in the NR2A subunit on parvalbumin interneurons [13]. Although no functional conclusions can be drawn from this result, it provides the clearest evidence to date for molecular changes in the NMDA channel.

The causes of NMDAR hypofunction in schizophrenia are probably varied, as would be expected for a disorder involving many genes. The possibilities include reduction in the concentrations of the co-agonists, glycine [38,39] and D-serine levels [40], elevated levels of endogenous antagonists (NAAG/kynurenic acid) [41,42], alterations in the redox state of the NMDA channel [43] or reduced channel expression or trafficking [13,44].

If NMDAR hypofunction contributes to the symptoms of schizophrenia, treatment with agonists of the glycine site should reduce these symptoms (this site is normally not fully occupied [45]). During the last decade, over a dozen placebo-controlled clinical trials with glycine site agonists including D-cycloserine, glycine and D-serine have been carried out in patients with schizophrenia who were receiving concurrent antipsychotic medications. With the exception of one study, negative symptoms were reduced [46]. Treatment with the endogenous glycine transport inhibitor sarcosine has also been reported to reduce negative symptoms, improve cognition and further reduce positive symptoms in schizophrenic patients receiving concurrent antipsychotics [47,48].

The importance of glutamatergic transmission in schizophrenia is underscored by the recent report that an mGlue2/3 agonist is effective, by itself, in treating the disease [49]. This is the first successful treatment not based on direct antagonism of dopamine D2 receptors. The first indication of the therapeutic potential of this drug came from animal models showing that mGlue2/3 agonist reduces the overactivity produced by NMDA antagonist [50,51]. Such reduction might occur as a result of presynaptic reduction in excitation, but a recent report raises the possibility of postsynaptic enhancement of the NMDAR function [52].

**NMDA/GABA interaction: disinhibition**

In pyramidal cells, excitatory postsynaptic potential (EPSPs) are generated primarily by AMPA channels; the main role of NMDA channels in these cells is in the synaptic plasticity that underlies learning. It was therefore unclear why administration of NMDA antagonist to humans should have large effects on mental processes not related to learning. A key finding [53] was the discovery that NMDA channels contribute strongly to the EPSP in interneurons and that acute inhibition of these channels reduces inhibitory output (see also Refs [54–56]). There is an enormous diversity of interneuron types [57]; it is thus important to note that large NMDA-mediated EPSPs have been found in the parvalbumin-containing basket cells [54,58] that mediate the feedback inhibition (Figure 1) discussed later in this review. It is also important to note that in addition to reducing the EPSP, NMDA antagonists hyperpolarize neurons by blocking the effect of ambient glutamate [59]; this would also make it more difficult to excite interneurons.

If the output of interneurons is reduced by NMDA antagonists, pyramidal cell activity should increase. This has been observed in rodents by electrophysiological methods [60], metabolic imaging methods [61,62] and measurements of glutamate release [63]. The prolonged overactivity of pyramidal cells could have deleterious
consequences; indeed, this is the likely explanation of the fact that prolonged inhibition of NMDARs produces swelling of pyramidal cells and other signs of cellular stress [64]. Taken together, these experiments strongly argue that a major effect of NMDA antagonists is to produce disinhibition of pyramidal cells.

If disinhibition occurs in schizophrenia, there should be an increase in brain metabolic activity. This has been observed using functional imaging. Importantly, the increase in activity correlates with the severity of psychopathology [65,66] and is predictive of cognitive abnormalities [67]. Recent work used newly developed methods to quantitatively measure basal blood flow at very high spatial resolution [68,69]. The results showed elevated blood flow in the hippocampus of schizophrenia patients, particularly in the CA1 region. This activity showed a strong correlation with psychosis, particularly symptoms of delusion.

The disinhibition produced by NMDA antagonists is only partial because AMPA-mediated excitation of interneurons remains. Thus, normal interaction of pyramidal cells in interneurons might be affected by this form of disinhibition without causing the large-scale epileptic activity produced by complete block of inhibition. There is, however, an overlap of schizophrenia and epilepsy. NMDA antagonists produce an EEG signature similar to some forms of epilepsy [70], and there is a substantially increased risk of schizophrenia in patients with epilepsy [71]. Moreover, temporal lobe epilepsy can often produce symptoms related to those in schizophrenia [72]. Still, it remains to be resolved why agents other than NMDA antagonists that reduce inhibition in humans do not produce symptoms of schizophrenia. One possibility is that such drugs reduce both the inhibitory output of interneurons and the inhibitory input onto interneurons; these effects might cancel each other. NMDA antagonists, by selectively reducing the excitation of interneurons, might have a more specific effect. The situation is further complicated by regional variability in the sensitivity of interneurons to NMDA antagonist. A recent study found that such antagonists affected inhibitory circuits more strongly in the entorhinal cortex than in the hippocampus [73] (see also Ref. [74]). Other work has pointed to the thalamus as a region particularly sensitive to antagonist [61,70]. The basis of this regional variability remains to be determined.

**NMDARs on interneurons as a sensor for homeostatic regulation of pyramidal cell firing**

The existence of an NMDAR-mediated component of the EPSP in interneurons helps to connect the NMDA and GABA hypotheses, but does not explain the decreased expression of GAD and parvalbumin. Here we propose a novel explanation of this decrease. Our starting point is the idea that a major function of the fast-spiking interneurons is the homeostatic regulation of overall pyramidal cell firing. These interneurons sum the responses from hundreds of pyramidal cells and then inhibit these cells, thus providing negative feedback control of the summed firing level [75]. Acute application of an NMDA antagonist will immediately interfere with this homeostatic function by reducing the gain of negative feedback. There is now good evidence for a second, slower mechanism that further reduces the efficacy of inhibition. In vivo treatment with NMDAR antagonists for several days produces a reduction in cortical GAD67 and parvalbumin mRNA [76,77], much like that seen in schizophrenia (see above). The reduction in GAD67 would be expected to reduce GABA levels and therefore decrease inhibition. Although this prediction has not been directly tested after NMDA antagonist application, it has been tested in another model of schizophrenia that has reduced hippocampal GAD67. Physiological recordings from pyramidal cells in this model show reduced evoked inhibition and reduced miniature inhibitory post synaptic current amplitude [78]. Remarkably, a study [79] shows that the deficits in GAD67 produced by NMDAR antagonist can be observed in cell cultures of pyramidal cells and interneurons. The fact that this cardinal feature of the human pathological data can be reproduced in such a simple system indicates that it must arise through a local circuit mechanism. The experiments also showed that the reduction in GAD67 is specific to parvalbumin-containing interneurons, that cell death is not involved, that the effect depends on blocking NR2A receptors and that it is the Ca\(^{2+}\) entry through these channels [80] that triggers the change in protein levels. A recent study provides insight into some of the biochemical mechanisms involved [81].

It at first seems counterintuitive that application of an NMDAR antagonist should produce an acute reduction of inhibition followed by a further, slower, reduction of inhibition – most slow changes are compensatory rather than reinforcing. We suggest a simple explanation. As noted...
above, the homeostatic function of these interneurons is to stabilize overall pyramidal cell firing, and it would make sense if slow biochemical changes served this function. It appears (see above) that interneurons use NMDARs to sense pyramidal cell activity. It follows that NMDAR hypofunction would be falsely ‘interpreted’ as inactivity of pyramidal cells. The interneuron, as part of its homeostatic function, would then attempt to compensate for the apparent inactivity by reducing its inhibitory output. This would be done by lowering GAD67 levels and therefore GABA.

Interestingly, recent biophysical results raise the possibility that the reduction in parvalbumin that occurs in schizophrenia (and in response to NMDAR antagonist in rodents) might be part of the same homeostatic mechanism. Parvalbumin is a protein that buffers Ca\(^{2+}\). During action potentials, it binds the Ca\(^{2+}\) that enters through voltage-dependent Ca\(^{2+}\) channels. Because the buffer is loaded with Ca\(^{2+}\), it will act to maintain free Ca\(^{2+}\) at a level higher than the resting level well after the action potential's end. This ‘tail’ of Ca\(^{2+}\) elevation triggers what is termed ‘delayed’ GABA release. It has recently been found that such delayed release is cumulatively larger than the synchronous release that occurs at the time of the action potential [82]. Thus, the net effect of reducing parvalbumin levels is to decrease inhibitory output.

In summary, the reduction of GAD67 and parvalbumin appear to serve a common homeostatic function carried out by fast-spiking interneurons. The biochemical machinery that controls this homeostasis depends on the NMDA channel as a sensor for pyramidal cell activity. If the sensor malfunctions, indicating low pyramidal cell activity, the interneuron may synthesize less GABA and parvalbumin in an attempt to restore pyramidal cell activity to the correct level. These homeostatic compensations are maladaptive in this context and unfortunately produce overactivity of pyramidal cells that could trigger further problems, as discussed in the next section. More needs to be learned about this homeostatic loop. Interestingly, there are indications that GAD67 reduction occurs in sensory cortex during sensory deprivation, which would also be expected to reduce glutamatergic input to fast-spiking interneurons [83,84].

The hyperdopaminergic state and the role of the hippocampus

Dopamine was the first neurotransmitter system to be strongly implicated in schizophrenia. Antagonists of the D2 receptor reduce the positive symptoms of the disorder [85,86], and standard drug treatments of schizophrenia remain based on this antagonism. By implication, it would seem that the disease might be due to a hyperdopaminergic state (excess dopamine). Consistent with this, increasing dopamine release with amphetamine produces positive symptoms in normal subjects [87]. Direct evidence for a dopaminergic abnormality in schizophrenia comes from studies that measured the ability of endogenous dopamine to displace dopamine receptor radioligands in the striatum. Such studies showed that dopamine release is hyperresponsive to amphetamine in schizophrenia patients and that responsiveness correlates with the exacerbation of psychosis [88].

An important advance in understanding neurotransmitter interactions in schizophrenia was the finding that the hyperdopaminergic state can be a consequence of NMDAR hypofunction [89,90]. This was supported by the finding that acute application of NMDAR antagonist stimulates dopamine release in animal models [91] and humans [92,93] (but see Ref. [94]).

Progress has been made in understanding which brain regions are critical for the effect of NMDA antagonists (and the resulting disinhibition) on the dopamine system. Because recurrent inhibition is a fundamental feature of cortical circuitry, blockade of NMDARs will likely cause disinhibition in many brain regions. Consistent with this, in schizophrenia there are abnormalities in sensory processes mediated by sensory cortex [95–97], as well as in high-level functions (working memory) carried out in prefrontal cortex [98]. However, there appears to be a special role of disinhibition in the hippocampal region in stimulating the hyperdopaminergic state (and the consequent psychosis). The hippocampal region has been implicated in schizophrenia and in forms of psychosis not related to schizophrenia [99,100]. Importantly, artificially activating the subiculum, an output structure of the hippocampus, is sufficient to increase the population activity of dopamine neurons in the ventral tegmental area (VTA) [101] and to release dopamine [102]. Other studies utilized an animal model for schizophrenia [103] to investigate the causal role of the hippocampus. In this model, interneurons are preferentially reduced by treatment with a mitogen late in gestation [104]. This results in elevated VTA activity and hyper-responsiveness to amphetamine in adults (as occurs in schizophrenia). Importantly, these effects could be acutely reversed [105] by inactivating the subiculum, indicating that the hippocampal region is necessary for producing the hyperdopaminergic state. This kind of circuit analysis is powerful and it will be important to determine whether similar results can be obtained with other models of schizophrenia.

There is increased understanding of the special relationship of the hippocampus and VTA in normal memory function. The hippocampus is a memory store, one function of which is to detect novelty (by comparison of input to stored information); this detection appears to trigger the novelty-dependent firing of the VTA [106,107]. The dopaminergic cells of the VTA project to many regions, including the hippocampus. The resulting dopamine release in the hippocampus appears to have several effects on neurons. It is important for the consolidation of long-term potentiation, and thus the entry of information into long-term memory [106]. Furthermore, dopamine can alter synaptic transmission [108], and the net effect is to produce further disinhibition [109] (raising the possibility of a positive feedback process). The changes in the hippocampus-VTA loop appear to have functional consequences: in schizophrenia patients, there is a failure of the hippocampal fMRI signal to habituate with repeated presentation of emotional faces; thus, everything is novel [110]. Without habituation processes that allow gating (filtering) of sensory stimuli, sensory processes can become overloaded [111]. Hyperactivation of the dopamine system is also likely to affect other cognitive systems, notably the
working memory processes of prefrontal cortex (reviewed in Ref. [112]).

**Disinhibition might produce some cognitive symptoms by reducing gamma oscillations**

In the above section, we explored how malfunction of the feedback loop between pyramidal cells and fast-spiking interneurons could affect the dopamine system. In addition, this loop is directly involved in the generation of gamma oscillations and there are now strong reasons for believing that abnormalities in these oscillations could contribute to some of the symptoms of schizophrenia. Gamma frequency (30–100 Hz) oscillations are present in the local field potential and EEG, reflecting the synchronized firing of groups of pyramidal cells. It has been found that gamma oscillations are reduced in schizophrenia and that the degree of this reduction correlates with the severity of negative symptoms [113]. Because the power of gamma oscillations varies dramatically with behavioral state, there is concern that the reduced gamma power in schizophrenia might be a result of an alteration in behavioral state rather than a specific change in the gamma-producing circuitry. This issue cannot yet be resolved with certainty, but it is noteworthy that reductions in gamma power are seen during tasks that do not involve attention and can be observed in unmedicated patients [114].

Gamma oscillations arise through negative feedback inhibition of pyramidal cells by fast-spiking interneurons [74,115], the same interneuron type we discussed above. Because NMDA channels contribute to the excitation of fast-spiking interneurons, NMDAR antagonists should reduce gamma oscillations. This has now been directly demonstrated in slices of the entorhinal cortex [73].

Testing the role of gamma oscillations in cognitive processes is difficult, but a recent study has made progress in this direction. In this study, GluR1 or GluR4 were knocked out of parvalbumin interneurons [115]. Because these receptors contribute to the excitation of interneurons, their removal reduced feedback inhibition and would thus be expected to reduce gamma power. This reduction was observed, notably in the hippocampus, where most of the experiments were conducted. Because the neural code organized by gamma oscillations is critical for effective communication between brain structures, abnormalities in gamma rhythm could interfere with cognitive processes. Specific support for a role of gamma in memory comes from computational models [116] showing how interference with hippocampal gamma would compromise memory function. Consistent with these models, reducing gamma power by knocking out GluR1/GluR4 in interneurons produced deficits in hippocampal memory tasks [115]. Taken together, these experiments suggest how NMDAR hypofunction, acting through both acute and slower biochemical mechanisms, could reduce gamma oscillations and thereby produce memory deficits in schizophrenia. The extent to which other negative symptoms of schizophrenia can be attributed to abnormalities in gamma oscillations remains to be examined, but it would seem unlikely that all symptoms can be related to gamma. Indeed, there is evidence that hypofunction of the NMDAR-mediated excitation of magnocellular pyramidal cells could account for deficits in early visual processing [117].

**The cholinergic system: reversing disinhibition and cognitive deficits**

The disinhibition model described above is also useful in understanding the role of the cholinergic system in schizophrenia [118]. One hint of the relevance of the cholinergic system to schizophrenia is that the prevalence of smoking among individuals with schizophrenia exceeds 70%, 2- to 4-fold higher than in the general population [119]. This heavy use of nicotine is believed to be an attempt at self-medication [120]. In controlled experiments on schizophrenia patients, nicotine has been found to enhance cognitive function [121–123].

Analysis of circuit function is beginning to provide insight into how these cholinergic effects might arise. α7 nicotinic receptors are concentrated on interneurons [124], can depolarize interneurons and can thereby enhance GABA release [125]. Recent studies show that there is a second and more surprising way that nicotine enhances GABA release: nicotine inhibits the inhibitory synapses onto interneurons [126]. Through these synergistic actions, nicotine can enhance the excitation of interneurons and thereby enhance inhibitory output. Consistent with this, nicotine increases the gamma oscillations that are dependent on interneuron function [127].

**Toward a circuit-based explanation of synergistic gene action**

The goal of systems biology is to understand how genes work together in biochemical and cellular networks to produce function. Such an integrated understanding is of special importance in schizophrenia research because the disorder results from the synergistic interaction of many risk genes, none of which has a large effect. To determine whether genes act synergistically, it is necessary to have a circuit-based model. This is illustrated by analysis of NMDAR function; these receptors are present both on pyramidal cells and interneurons. It is only because of the physiological experiments indicating the special importance of NMDARs in the excitation of interneurons (see above) that NMDAR hypofunction (which reduces GABA release) can be seen as synergistic with other factors that also reduce GABA release (e.g. the mutation in GAD67).

Many of the genes that have been identified as risk genes for schizophrenia relate to glutamatergic transmission (Box 1). Indeed, this association is substantially greater than chance [128]. In some cases (e.g. G72, DAOO and serine racemase), the available evidence strongly suggests that NMDAR hypofunction could result. In other cases, for instance the metabotropic glutamate receptor 3 (GRM3), glutamatergic transmission could be implicated [129], but it is not known how NMDAR function is affected. These considerations emphasize the need for physiological analysis of risk genes.

The circuitry on which we have focused provides a framework for integrating results on the glutamate, GABA, dopamine and cholinergic neurotransmitter
Box 1. Schizophrenia risk genes that affect transmission at glutamatergic, nicotinic and GABAergic synapses

**DAOA:** The gene, also termed G72, is of recent evolutionary appearance. It encodes a protein that activates DAOA, the enzyme that catabolizes D-serine [130]. DAOA appears to be the critical determinant of D-serine levels, as its activity correlates inversely with D-serine levels both regionally and developmentally [40,131]. As D-serine acts as a co-agonist with glutamate for NMDAR, reduced availability of D-serine would lead to NMDAR hypofunction [132]. Since G72 was first proposed as a risk gene for schizophrenia [130], over a dozen studies have supported this association (for a review, see Ref. [133]). One study reported increased expression of G72 in prefrontal cortex [134]. The impressive replications of the association of G72 with the risk for schizophrenia is all the more intriguing, given recently replicated findings that (i) D-serine reduces negative symptoms, improves cognition and reduces positive symptoms in patients with chronic schizophrenia who are receiving concurrent typical antipsychotic medications [135,136] and (ii) that serum and cerebrospinal fluid levels of D-serine are reduced in schizophrenic subjects [40,131].

**DAOA:** The gene encoding D-amino acid oxidase, the enzyme that degrades D-serine, has also been linked to the risk of schizophrenia in several studies [137]. The enzymatic consequences of the DAOA mutation are not known, but a postmortem study revealed elevated levels of DAOA in the hippocampus of patients with schizophrenia that correlated with the duration of illness [138]. This would account for the observed reduction of D-serine levels, a reduction that would produce NMDAR hypofunction [139].

**Serine racemase:** This enzyme produces D-serine from L-serine. There is a single-nucleotide polymorphism in the 5' promoter region in the gene encoding serine racemase that is linked to schizophrenia [140]. This results in reduced expression of the protein. It would be expected that this would reduce D-serine levels and produce NMDAR hypofunction [139]. A protein that interacts with serine racemase, has been identified as a risk gene for schizophrenia [141].

**GRM3:** GRM3 encodes for mGluR3, for which N-acetyl-aspartyl glutamate (NAAG) is a potent and specific agonist [142]. mGluR3 downregulates the release of glutamate and thereby could cause NMDAR hypofunction. Research suggests that the mGluR3 agonist NAAG might be increased in corticolimbic regions in schizophrenia as a result of downregulation of its catabolic enzyme, glutamate carboxypeptidase II [41,143,144].

**DTNP1:** Dysbindin (DTNP1; 6p24-22) has emerged as another promising risk gene for schizophrenia [145]. Dysbindin is concentrated in the presynaptic glutamatergic terminals where it interacts with SNAP and synapsin 1 and modulates vesicular release of glutamate [146]. The expression of dysbindin is reduced in prefrontal cortex and hippocampus in schizophrenia [147]. Notably, the dysbindin genotype has been inversely associated with general cognitive ability and poor premorbid function in schizophrenia [148,149].

**NRG1:** The association of the gene encoding neuregulin with the risk for schizophrenia is also particularly robust [150]. Neuregulin is a component of the ErbB signaling pathway. Mice with a null mutation of its gene express lower levels of NR1 [151], and have altered tyrosine phosphorylation of the channel [152]. Consistent with this downregulation, reducing presynaptic neuregulin with RNAi reduces the NMDAR component of transmission [153]. Importantly, there is a lowered level of neuregulin in the synaptic complex isolated from the brain of schizophrenics [152,154]. The effects of neuregulin are not likely to be exclusively on glutamatergic transmission, as nicotinic and GABAergic transmission are also affected [155–157].

**GAD1:** Glutamic acid decarboxylase (67 kDa) is responsible for the bulk of GABA synthesized in neurons. Single-nucleotide polymorphisms in the 5' promoter region of its gene, GAD1, are associated with childhood onset of schizophrenia and cortical gray matter loss [158].

**CHNR2A:** The 7 nicotinic receptor is expressed by interneurons and acts to excite them. Their deficit would thus lead to disinhibition of pyramidal cells. This gene is contained in a region that shows strong linkage to schizophrenia and affects gating deficits associated with the disease [159].

**DG1:** Glutamic acid decarboxylase is involved in the development of circuit-based models is at a very early stage and that models will undoubtedly have to undergo substantial revision. What we hope this article has made clear is that a circuit-based approach is possible, that some progress has been made in this direction and that this approach is the correct strategy for understanding a disease that produces its devastating consequences through synergistic gene action.
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