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IMPORTANCE Comorbidity is ubiquitous in psychiatry, but it is unclear how to differentiate neural mechanisms of co-occurring symptoms. Pediatric irritability and anxiety symptoms are prevalent and frequently co-occur. Threat orienting is pertinent to both phenotypes and is an ideal context in which to examine their unique and common neural mechanisms.

OBJECTIVES To decompose the unique and shared variances of pediatric irritability and anxiety symptoms and to determine neural correlates of these differentiated phenotypes during threat orienting.

DESIGN, SETTING, AND PARTICIPANTS This investigation was a cross-sectional functional magnetic resonance imaging study. The setting was a research clinic at the National Institute of Mental Health. Participants were youth aged 8 to 18 years spanning multiple diagnostic categories (141 youth with disruptive mood dysregulation disorder, anxiety disorder, and/or attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and 56 healthy youth). This combination provided wide variation in levels of irritability and anxiety symptoms. Data were acquired between June 30, 2012, and June 28, 2016.

MAIN OUTCOMES AND MEASURES Participants and parents rated youth’s irritability on the Affective Reactivity Index and anxiety on the Screen for Child Anxiety Related Emotional Disorders. Bifactor analysis decomposed the unique and shared variances. A functional magnetic resonance imaging dot-probe task assessed attention orienting to angry (ie, threat) vs neutral faces. Whole-brain analyses examined associations between the bifactor-derived phenotypes and both neural activity and amygdala functional connectivity.

RESULTS Among 197 participants included in the final analysis, the mean (SD) age was 13.1 (2.7) years, and 91 (46.2%) were female. The best-fit bifactor model (Comparative Fit Index, 0.959; Root Mean Square Error of Approximation, 0.066) included unique factors of parent-reported irritability, youth-reported irritability, and anxiety, as well as a common factor of negative affectivity. When the task required attention away from threat, higher parent-reported irritability was associated with increased activity in the insula, caudate, dorsolateral and ventrolateral prefrontal cortex, and inferior parietal lobule ($t_{189}=4.15$ for all, $P<.001$ for all). In contrast, higher anxiety was associated with decreased amygdala connectivity to the cingulate, thalamus, and precentral gyrus ($t_{189}=-4.19$ for all, $P<.001$ for all). These distinctive neural correlates did not emerge using a diagnostic approach.

CONCLUSIONS AND RELEVANCE A latent variable approach to parsing co-occurring symptom dimensions revealed a novel double dissociation. During orientation away from threat, only irritability was associated with neural activity, whereas only anxiety was associated with amygdala connectivity. Despite the challenges of symptom co-occurrence for clinical neuroscience, data-driven phenotyping may facilitate a path forward.
One goal of precision psychiatry is to identify clear brain-behavior associations. However, a major challenge is co-occurrence among clinical phenotypes, which raises questions about specific vs shared pathophysiology. To date, most relevant studies have focused on diagnostic categories, but these do not track closely with biology. As a result, the field is moving toward alternative phenotyping strategies, such as transdiagnostic dimensionally assessed symptoms, hierarchical clustering of symptoms, and symptom networks. How to parse neural mechanisms of distinct but correlated symptom dimensions remains an open question. In this study, we used latent variable methods to differentiate mechanisms of co-occurring symptom dimensions in a transdiagnostic sample of youth.

Children seen for psychiatric care typically exhibit multiple co-occurring symptoms, complicating treatment. In particular, individual differences in chronic irritability and anxiety are correlated in both clinical and community pediatric samples. Irritability refers to an increased proneness to anger relative to peers. Levels of both irritability and anxiety are distributed continuously in youth. Clinically significant irritability or anxiety in early life predicts elevated risk for negative outcomes, including depression and functional impairment in adulthood. Parsing the unique and common neural mechanisms of irritability and anxiety in early life could reveal precise targets for treatment and prevention.

Both irritability and anxiety are characterized by high-arousal negative affect states (ie, negative affectivity). In addition, both irritability and anxiety have been associated with biased attention orienting toward social threats, such as angry faces. However, the phenotypes differ in their behavioral output. Whereas irritability is associated with approach behavior in response to threat (eg, reactive aggression), anxiety is associated with avoidant behavior. Therefore, threat orienting is an ideal domain in which to examine the unique and common neural mechanisms of these phenotypes.

In the present study, we used bifactor analysis to examine the unique and common variances of dimensionally assessed irritability and anxiety in relation to neural mechanisms of threat orienting. Bifactor analysis is one type of latent variable analysis that uses observed data to estimate underlying constructs. It specifically handles correlated data, such as symptom reports of irritability and anxiety, that are posited to reflect an overarching or common construct (ie, negative affectivity), as well as unique constructs. In this study, we estimated a common latent factor (negative affectivity) reflecting associations between irritability and anxiety symptoms, thereby accounting for their co-occurrence, and unique latent factors reflecting only irritability or only anxiety symptoms, thereby accounting for their specificity. We hypothesized that these differentiated phenotypes would show distinct associations with neural activity and amygdala connectivity during threat orienting, which would not be found using a traditional diagnostic approach.

**Key Points**

**Question** Can latent variable statistical methods differentiate neural mechanisms of co-occurring symptom dimensions in youth?

**Findings** In a transdiagnostic sample of 197 youth, bifactor analysis decomposed the unique and shared variances of irritability and anxiety symptoms. On a functional neuroimaging task assessing threat orienting, these phenotypes showed a double dissociation: irritability was associated with widespread perturbed neural activation, whereas anxiety was associated with perturbed amygdala connectivity.

**Meaning** A bifactor approach to modeling pediatric psychopathology revealed a novel double dissociation in which phenotype-specific mechanisms were found to underlie clinically relevant threat orienting.

**Methods**

**Participants** At the National Institute of Mental Health, neuroimaging data were acquired from youth aged 8 to 18 years. To obtain full, distributed ranges of irritability and anxiety symptoms, the transdiagnostic sample included youth with clinically significant irritability and/or anxiety, youth with subthreshold symptoms, and healthy youth. Specifically, participants had no psychiatric diagnosis (n = 56) or had a presenting diagnosis of disruptive mood dysregulation disorder (DMDD), characterized by severe, chronic irritability (n = 54); an anxiety disorder (generalized, social, or separation anxiety disorder) (n = 50); or attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) (n = 37). Primary ADHD was included because it is associated strongly with chronic irritability in this age group and thus is a common comorbidity of DMDD. Participants were assessed on levels of irritability using the Affective Reactivity Index (ARI) parent-report and youth-report forms and on levels of anxiety using the Screen for Child Anxiety Related Emotional Disorders (SCARED) parent-report and youth-report forms. These assessments were conducted within 3 months of the imaging with the exception of 6 participants having no psychiatric diagnosis whose data were collected outside of this window. Exclusion criteria were IQ below 70 or presence of a pervasive developmental disorder, posttraumatic stress disorder, schizophrenia, substance use within the preceding 3 months, neurological disorder, or unstable medical illness. Participants were recruited through advertisements in the community. Parents gave written informed consent, and youth gave written assent. Data were acquired between June 30, 2012, and June 28, 2016. Youth received monetary compensation for participation. Study procedures were approved by the National Institute of Mental Health Institutional Review Board.

**Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging Paradigm** Participants completed an event-related dot-probe task (eFigure 1 in the Supplement). Each trial began with a fixation...
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Imaging Procedures

Functional magnetic resonance imaging data were acquired on a 3-T imaging system (HDI; General Electric) with an 8-channel head coil. Functional image volumes were collected with an in-plane resolution of 2.5 × 2.5 mm using a T2-weighted gradient-echo pulse sequence (repetition time/echo time of 2300/25 milliseconds, flip angle of 50°, field of view of 24 cm, 96 × 96-pixel matrix, and 41 contiguous 3-mm interleaved axial sections). Total acquisition time was 14 minutes. A high-resolution 3-dimensional MPRAGE spin-echo sequence (NEX of 1, echo time/inversion time of minimum full echo time/725 milliseconds, field of view of 22 cm, 256 × 192-pixel matrix, and bandwidth of 31.25 Hz per 256 voxels) was acquired for use in coregistration and normalization procedures.

Data were processed and analyzed using Analysis of Functional NeuroImages (AFNI). A general linear model estimated blood oxygenation level–dependent signal change and voxelwise functional connectivity of the bilateral amygdala using generalized psychophysiological interaction methods (eMethods in the Supplement).

Statistical Analysis

Bifactor Model of Irritability and Anxiety

Bifactor analysis quantified the unique and shared variances of irritability and anxiety symptoms (eMethods in the Supplement). The best-fit model included the following 4 factors: unique factors of parent-reported irritability, youth-reported irritability, and anxiety (parent-reported and youth-reported), as well as a common factor of negative affectivity. Data represented the series of factor loadings of each measure on each latent factor. ARI indicates Affective Reactivity Index; Gen, generalized anxiety disorder subscale; It, item; P, parent report; Pan, panic disorder subscale; SCARED, Screen for Child Anxiety Related Emotional Disorders; Soc, social anxiety disorder subscale; Sep, separation anxiety disorder subscale; Sch, school avoidance subscale; and Y, youth report.

Figure 1. Bifactor Model of Pediatric Irritability and Anxiety

Shown is the best-fit model, including unique factors of parent-reported irritability, youth-reported irritability, and anxiety (parent reported and youth reported), as well as common factors of negative affectivity. These variable scores were tested together in the 3dMVM model. The within-subject independent variable was the task condition (threat congruent, threat incongruent, and neutral). General linear t tests modeled the a priori task condition contrasts of attention orienting to threat (threat-incongruent vs threat-congruent trials) and general viewing conditions (threat-incongruent/threat-congruent vs neutral trials) together within the model, as a function of the unique and common phenotype variables. Age, sex, and motion (grand-mean centered) were used as covariates in the model because of their associations with selected between-subject variables (P < .05 for all). All variance inflation factor indexes were less than 1.54.

Whole-brain analyses were conducted using a gray matter mask with the cerebellum removed. This mask was intersected with a group mask that included only those voxels in which data existed for at least 90% of participants. The initial voxelwise threshold was set at 2-sided P < .005. Multiple-testing correction was set to α = .05 for activation and to α = .025 for functional connectivity (based on 2 seeds) via program. The between-subject independent variables were the continuous factor scores (grand-mean centered) of parent-reported irritability, youth-reported irritability, anxiety, and negative affectivity. These variables were tested together in the 3dMVM model. The within-subject independent variable was the task condition (threat congruent, threat incongruent, and neutral). General linear t tests modeled the a priori task condition contrasts of attention orienting to threat (threat-incongruent vs threat-congruent trials) and general viewing conditions (threat-incongruent/threat-congruent vs neutral trials) together within the model, as a function of the unique and common phenotype variables. Age, sex, and motion (grand-mean centered) were used as covariates in the model because of their associations with selected between-subject variables (P < .05 for all). All variance inflation factor indexes were less than 1.54.

Whole-brain analyses were conducted using a gray matter mask with the cerebellum removed. This mask was intersected with a group mask that included only those voxels in which data existed for at least 90% of participants. The initial voxelwise threshold was set at 2-sided P < .005. Multiple-testing correction was set to α = .05 for activation and to α = .025 for functional connectivity (based on 2 seeds) via program. The between-subject independent variables were the continuous factor scores (grand-mean centered) of parent-reported irritability, youth-reported irritability, anxiety, and negative affectivity. These variables were tested together in the 3dMVM model. The within-subject independent variable was the task condition (threat congruent, threat incongruent, and neutral). General linear t tests modeled the a priori task condition contrasts of attention orienting to threat (threat-incongruent vs threat-congruent trials) and general viewing conditions (threat-incongruent/threat-congruent vs neutral trials) together within the model, as a function of the unique and common phenotype variables. Age, sex, and motion (grand-mean centered) were used as covariates in the model because of their associations with selected between-subject variables (P < .05 for all). All variance inflation factor indexes were less than 1.54.

Whole-brain analyses were conducted using a gray matter mask with the cerebellum removed. This mask was intersected with a group mask that included only those voxels in which data existed for at least 90% of participants. The initial voxelwise threshold was set at 2-sided P < .005. Multiple-testing correction was set to α = .05 for activation and to α = .025 for functional connectivity (based on 2 seeds) via program. The between-subject independent variables were the continuous factor scores (grand-mean centered) of parent-reported irritability, youth-reported irritability, anxiety, and negative affectivity. These variables were tested together in the 3dMVM model. The within-subject independent variable was the task condition (threat congruent, threat incongruent, and neutral). General linear t tests modeled the a priori task condition contrasts of attention orienting to threat (threat-incongruent vs threat-congruent trials) and general viewing conditions (threat-incongruent/threat-congruent vs neutral trials) together within the model, as a function of the unique and common phenotype variables. Age, sex, and motion (grand-mean centered) were used as covariates in the model because of their associations with selected between-subject variables (P < .05 for all). All variance inflation factor indexes were less than 1.54.

Whole-brain analyses were conducted using a gray matter mask with the cerebellum removed. This mask was intersected with a group mask that included only those voxels in which data existed for at least 90% of participants. The initial voxelwise threshold was set at 2-sided P < .005. Multiple-testing correction was set to α = .05 for activation and to α = .025 for functional connectivity (based on 2 seeds) via program. The between-subject independent variables were the continuous factor scores (grand-mean centered) of parent-reported irritability, youth-reported irritability, anxiety, and negative affectivity. These variables were tested together in the 3dMVM model. The within-subject independent variable was the task condition (threat congruent, threat incongruent, and neutral). General linear t tests modeled the a priori task condition contrasts of attention orienting to threat (threat-incongruent vs threat-congruent trials) and general viewing conditions (threat-incongruent/threat-congruent vs neutral trials) together within the model, as a function of the unique and common phenotype variables. Age, sex, and motion (grand-mean centered) were used as covariates in the model because of their associations with selected between-subject variables (P < .05 for all). All variance inflation factor indexes were less than 1.54.

Whole-brain analyses were conducted using a gray matter mask with the cerebellum removed. This mask was intersected with a group mask that included only those voxels in which data existed for at least 90% of participants. The initial voxelwise threshold was set at 2-sided P < .005. Multiple-testing correction was set to α = .05 for activation and to α = .025 for functional connectivity (based on 2 seeds) via program. The between-subject independent variables were the continuous factor scores (grand-mean centered) of parent-reported irritability, youth-reported irritability, anxiety, and negative affectivity. These variables were tested together in the 3dMVM model. The within-subject independent variable was the task condition (threat congruent, threat incongruent, and neutral). General linear t tests modeled the a priori task condition contrasts of attention orienting to threat (threat-incongruent vs threat-congruent trials) and general viewing conditions (threat-incongruent/threat-congruent vs neutral trials) together within the model, as a function of the unique and common phenotype variables. Age, sex, and motion (grand-mean centered) were used as covariates in the model because of their associations with selected between-subject variables (P < .05 for all). All variance inflation factor indexes were less than 1.54.

Whole-brain analyses were conducted using a gray matter mask with the cerebellum removed. This mask was intersected with a group mask that included only those voxels in which data existed for at least 90% of participants. The initial voxelwise threshold was set at 2-sided P < .005. Multiple-testing correction was set to α = .05 for activation and to α = .025 for functional connectivity (based on 2 seeds) via program. The between-subject independent variables were the continuous factor scores (grand-mean centered) of parent-reported irritability, youth-reported irritability, anxiety, and negative affectivity. These variables were tested together in the 3dMVM model. The within-subject independent variable was the task condition (threat congruent, threat incongruent, and neutral). General linear t tests modeled the a priori task condition contrasts of attention orienting to threat (threat-incongruent vs threat-congruent trials) and general viewing conditions (threat-incongruent/threat-congruent vs neutral trials) together within the model, as a function of the unique and common phenotype variables. Age, sex, and motion (grand-mean centered) were used as covariates in the model because of their associations with selected between-subject variables (P < .05 for all). All variance inflation factor indexes were less than 1.54.
Monte Carlo cluster-size simulation with a gaussian plus exponential spatial autorecorrelation function to estimate smoothness (AFNI’s 3dClustSim program) \((a = 0.49478, b = 4.14409, \) and \(c = 11.13640)\). This setup resulted in a cluster-size threshold of \(k \geq 69 \) (1078 mm\(^3\)) for activation and \(k \geq 84 \) (1313 mm\(^3\)) for connectivity. Left and right amygdala regions of interest (ROIs) were anatomically defined using the Talairach Daemon atlas,\(^{42}\) resampled, and intersected with a whole-brain mask (\(a = .025\) based on 2 ROIs).

To characterize whole-brain and ROI associations, the mean activity and connectivity values for significant clusters were extracted using AFNI’s 3dROIstat program. Using statistical software (SPSS, version 23.0; SPSS Inc),\(^{43}\) contrasts were calculated for attention orienting to threat (threat-incongruent vs threat-congruent trials) and general viewing of threat (threat-incongruent/threat-congruent vs neutral trials). Multivariate linear regression models used the same variables as in the group analyses. All reported associations (t statistics and P values) are derived from these exploratory post hoc correlations (2-sided tests with no additional correction). Data were assessed for influential cases (standardized residual, >3). When excluding influential cases, all results remained significant; therefore, these cases were retained.

Last, a parallel diagnostic group analysis was conducted for comparison purposes. Details are given in the eMethods in the Supplement.

**Behavioral Analyses**

Reaction times (RTs) were calculated. Incorrect trials and trials in which RTs were less than 150 milliseconds, greater than 2000 milliseconds, or exceeding 2.5 SDs from the participant’s mean RT for the task condition were removed.\(^{36,37,44}\) Behavioral measures of attention orienting to threat, attention distraction by threat,\(^{36,37,44}\) and attention orienting variability\(^{45}\) were calculated.

**Results**

In total, 197 participants were included in the final analysis. Their mean (SD) age was 13.1 (2.7) years, and 91 (46.2%) were female (Table 1).

**Behavior**

Attention orienting to threat and attention distraction by threat did not vary significantly by any phenotype. Greater attention orienting variability was associated with higher parent-reported irritability \((r = 0.19, P < .01)\) and higher negative affectivity \((r = 0.18, P = .01)\) (eTable 1 in the Supplement).

**Activation**

Table 2 lists all significant results for activation. On threat-incongruent vs threat-congruent trials, higher levels of parent-reported irritability were associated with increased activity in multiple regions mediating attentional and motor responses to negatively valenced stimuli.\(^{46,47}\) These regions included the left amygdala (ROD) \((t_{189} = 2.30, P = .02)\) (Figure 2A), right insula \((t_{189} = 4.47, P < .001)\) (Figure 2B), bilateral dorsolateral prefrontal cortex \((t_{189} = 4.15, P < .001)\) for the left; \((t_{189} = 4.32, P < .001)\) for the right) (Figure 2C), left ventrolateral prefrontal cortex (VLPFC) \((t_{189} = 4.50, P < .001)\), bilateral inferior parietal lobule \((t_{189} = 5.19, P < .001)\) for the left; \((t_{189} = 4.53, P < .001)\) for the right) (Figure 2D), and bilateral caudate \((t_{189} = 4.74, P < .001)\) for the left; \((t_{189} = 4.66, P < .001)\) for the right) (eFigure 5A in the Supplement). There was a positive association between bilateral caudate activity and RT to the probe on threat-incongruent vs threat-congruent trials \((r = 0.17, P = .02)\) for the left caudate; \((r = 0.16, P = .03)\) for the right caudate) (eFigure 5B in the Supplement) and an indirect association of higher parent-reported irritability with this increased RT via increased caudate activity \((\beta = 1.343, P < .05)\) for the left caudate; \(\beta = 1.346, P < .05)\) for the right caudate) (eFigure 5B in the Supplement).

On threat vs neutral trials, higher levels of negative affectivity were associated with increased activity in the right dorsomedial nucleus of the thalamus \((t_{189} = 4.10, P < .001)\) (eFigure 6 in the Supplement).

**Functional Connectivity**

Table 2 lists all significant results for amygdala connectivity. On threat-incongruent vs threat-congruent trials, higher levels of anxiety were associated with decreased right amygdala connecting to the lateral prefrontal cortex \((t_{189} = 3.20, P = .001)\) (eTable 2 in the Supplement).
connectivity (Figure 3A) to the bilateral cingulate ($t_{189} = −4.24$, $P < .001$) (Figure 3B), bilateral thalamus ($t_{189} = −4.27, P < .001$) (Figure 3C), and left precentral gyrus ($t_{189} = −4.19$) ($P < .001$).

**Diagnostic Approach**

These distinctive neural correlates of irritability and anxiety did not emerge from an analysis that examined phenotypes diagnostically. Participants whose presenting diagnosis reflected the clinical threshold for severe irritability (DMDD) or anxiety (anxiety disorder) largely did not differ from participants with no psychiatric diagnosis. The one significant finding indicated that, on threat-incongruent vs threat-congruent trials, participants with an anxiety disorder (without DMDD) exhibited decreased connectivity between the right amygdala and posterior cingulate/posterior parietal cortices relative to participants with no psychiatric diagnosis (eTable 2 and eFigure 7 in the Supplement). This cluster did not overlap with that found in the dimensional analysis of anxiety.

**Supplementary Analyses**

Supplementary post hoc analyses of the dimensional phenotypes controlled for levels of ADHD symptoms ($n = 160$) (eTable 3 in the Supplement) and depressive symptoms ($n = 175$) (eTable 4 in the Supplement) assessed within 3 months of the imaging. For both sets of analyses, all whole-brain findings remained significant, but the left amygdala ROI finding was not significant. Post hoc analyses also examined results by medication status within 30 days before imaging (eTable 5 in the Supplement). In the subsample excluding participants taking stimulants ($n = 141$) or selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors ($n = 169$), all whole-brain findings remained significant, but the left amygdala ROI finding was not significant. In the subsample excluding participants taking second-generation antipsychotics ($n = 181$) or antiepileptic drugs ($n = 184$), all findings remained significant.

Supplementary analyses estimated functional connectivity of the bilateral vIPFC (eMethods in the Supplement). On threat-incongruent vs threat-congruent trials, higher levels of anxiety were associated with decreased right vIPFC connectivity to the right caudate/putamen (eFigure 8 in the Supplement).

Last, we reanalyzed the whole-brain data using threshold-free cluster enhancement with familywise error rate correction to 0.05 via permutation testing (eMethods and eFigure 9 in the Supplement). Results were largely consistent with the original analyses. The primary differences were that more extensive regions were associated with parent-reported irritability and that the region associated with negative affectivity was not significant. In the diagnostic group analysis, no regions were significant.

**Discussion**

A latent variable approach to parsing co-occurring symptom dimensions revealed a double dissociation between irritability and anxiety. On a threat-orienting task, only irritability was associated with increased neural activity, including activity in...
the insula, caudate, dorsolateral and ventrolateral prefrontal cortex, and inferior parietal lobule. Only anxiety was associated with decreased amygdala connectivity, including to the cingulate, thalamus, and precentral gyrus. In supplementary analyses, anxiety was also associated with decreased vPFC connectivity. Therefore, while pediatric irritability and anxiety often co-occur, phenotype-specific brain mechanisms are involved in threat orienting.

The widespread, increased activity associated with higher levels of parent-reported irritability may reflect that greater neural engagement is required to maintain attentional and motor control during threat-incongruent trials, when the task requires attending away from threat. Using a threat imminence framework, the increased neural activity specific to irritability may reflect heightened arousal that, in specific contexts, can contribute to maladaptive approach behavior toward nonimminent threats. In contrast, when the task required attending away from threat, anxiety was uniquely related to decreased connectivity between the amygdala and hubs of cortico-limbic networks. This pattern may reflect subtle aberrations in higher-order processes that mediate maladaptive avoidant behavior. For example, functional connectivity of the amygdala has been found to vary based on whether threat stimuli are presented subliminally vs supraliminally. The associations that we found between anxiety and amygdala connectivity may reflect differential levels of awareness of, or attention to, task-irrelevant threats. Indeed, a prior study also found associations between anxiety and functional connectivity of the amygdala during threat orienting.

To our knowledge, this is the first study to examine neural mechanisms of threat orienting in irritability. These results extend prior work on threat orienting in anxiety. In fact, previous findings relating anxiety to increased prefrontal activity on threat-orienting tasks may have been driven, in part, by co-occurring irritability that was not examined. We also found that negative affectivity was associated with increased activity in the dorso-medial nucleus of the thalamus during threat viewing. This association may reflect a general increase in motivation-driven visual processing of threat shared by irritability and anxiety. However, it should be noted that this region was not significant in the supplementary threshold-free cluster enhancement analysis. In addition, it is notable that parent-reported irritability and youth-reported irritability formed distinct factors in the bifactor analysis. This outcome is consistent with well-known informant discrepancies in developmental psychopathology and suggests that informant effects are important to consider in irritability. In this study, youth-reported irritability was not associated significantly with any brain data. Based on the distribution of diagnostic groups across youth-reported irritability scores, it appears that some youth with psychopathology (eg, DMDD) may underreport levels of irritability relative to parents. This pos-
Evolve of these distinct neural mechanisms for behavior and treatment response should be a focus of further work. In future pediatric intervention trials, participants could be phenotyped using this bifactor model of irritability and anxiety and stratified by their scores on the respective factors. New treatments may also be developed to target these neural alterations. For instance, noninvasive stimulation of the lateral prefrontal cortex has been shown to enhance the effects of attention bias modification63,64; such an approach could be tested for target regions in irritability and anxiety.

Limitations
This study had several limitations. First, the sample did not include all diagnoses that may involve irritability and/or anxiety. In particular, youth with primary unipolar or bipolar depression were not included, although mood disorder episodes can involve irritability.65 Future studies should recruit additional diagnostic groups (eg, major depressive disorder, bipolar disorder, posttraumatic stress disorder, and schizophrenia). A fully transdiagnostic approach to unique and common neural correlates would include all diagnoses feasible for imaging. Furthermore, given an appropriate sample, depressive symptoms could be incorporated in a future bifactor model that includes both negative and positive affectivity. Multisite investigations with larger samples and a broader array of symptom measures will help advance latent variable approaches to neuroimaging data. Second, the design of our study was cross-sectional. Follow-up studies should examine mechanisms of irritability and anxiety that may unfold across development. Third, some participants were taking psychotropic medication. Although post hoc analyses supported the robustness of the findings to medication, it is possible that results would be different in unmedicated individuals. Fourth, it will be important to replicate these findings, including in community samples.

Conclusions
The ubiquity of symptom co-occurrence and imprecision of diagnostic categories complicate research on pathophysiology and treatment of mental illness.1-4 Latent variable approaches may facilitate a path forward. For example, a bifactor approach may be useful in parsing symptom dimensions within syndromes and investigating neural substrates across a wide range of co-occurring symptoms. The identification of discrete early-expressed biomarkers of psychiatric disease may inform more effective, targeted treatments in youth.
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