Neural network activation during a stop-signal task discriminates cocaine-dependent from non-drug-abusing men
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ABSTRACT

Cocaine dependence is defined by a loss of inhibitory control over drug-use behaviors, mirrored by measurable impairments in laboratory tasks of inhibitory control. The current study tested the hypothesis that deficits in multiple subprocesses of behavioral control are associated with reliable neural-processing alterations that define cocaine addiction. While undergoing functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI), 38 cocaine-dependent men and 27 healthy control men performed a stop-signal task of motor inhibition. An independent component analysis on fMRI time courses identified task-related neural networks attributed to motor, visual, cognitive and affective processes. The statistical associations of these components with five different stop-signal task conditions were selected for use in a linear discriminant analysis to define a classifier for cocaine addiction from a subsample of 26 cocaine-dependent men and 18 controls. Leave-one-out cross-validation accurately classified 89.5% (39/44; chance accuracy = 26/44 = 59.1%) of subjects with 84.6% (22/26) sensitivity and 94.4% (17/18) specificity. The remaining 12 cocaine-dependent and 9 control men formed an independent test sample, for which accuracy of the classifier was 81.9% (17/21; chance accuracy = 12/21 = 57.1%) with 75% (9/12) sensitivity and 88.9% (8/9) specificity. The cocaine addiction classification score was significantly correlated with a measure of impulsiveness as well as the duration of cocaine use for cocaine-dependent men. The results of this study support the ability of a pattern of multiple neural network alterations associated with inhibitory motor control to define a binary classifier for cocaine addiction.
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INTRODUCTION

Cocaine addiction is associated with a diminished ability to exert inhibitory control over behavior. Deficits in behavioral inhibition processes identified in laboratory studies reflect clinical diagnostic criteria for drug dependence and include longer stop-signal reaction times (Fillmore & Rush 2002; Li et al. 2006b), greater errors of commission (Fillmore & Rush 2002; Kaufman et al. 2003; Verdejo-Garcia, Perales & Perez-Garcia 2007), poor error awareness (Hester, Simoes-Franklin & Garavan 2007) and decreased post-error adaptive behavior (Hester et al. 2007; Li et al. 2006b). Neural-processing alterations underlying these behavioral impairments have been separately identified by functional neuroimaging studies of cocaine-dependent individuals for response inhibition (Kaufman et al. 2003; Hester & Garavan 2004; Li et al. 2007) and errors of commission (Kaufman et al. 2003). These studies suggest that cocaine addiction is defined by a complex set of neural-processing deficits related to multiple subprocesses of the inhibitory control of behavior. We sought to test this hypothesis using the stop-signal task (Logan 1994). A test of the ability of such deficits to define cocaine addiction is the extent to which the patterns of task-related activation reliably and accurately classify an individual as being drug-addicted versus non-drug abusing.

A common approach to the identification of group differences in brain activation involves a two-sample t-test on spatial maps of statistical values representing the association of each voxel with a given task condition or contrast. Although voxel-clustering thresholds are frequently...
applied to results, these group-level statistical analyses are performed independently for each voxel. Such univariate tests fail to account for activity in the rest of the brain that may contribute to group differences, but would not independently differentiate groups. Furthermore, the tens of thousands of independent tests involved in voxel-wise analyses require extensive correction for multiple comparisons that can increase type-II error rates. The more recent application of multivariate statistical techniques for functional neuroimaging data analysis overcomes these limitations (Rowe & Hoffmann 2006; O’Toole et al. 2007). Dimensionality reduction approaches such as principal component analysis and independent component analysis (ICA) seek to attribute variance in the data to a reduced number of sources, thereby requiring fewer independent tests. Additional multivariate approaches, including support vector machines (LaConte et al. 2005; Mourão-Miranda et al. 2005; Fu et al. 2008) and linear discriminant analysis (Carlson, Schrater & He 2003; Ford et al. 2003; Dai et al. 2012), have also been successfully applied to classification and prediction for neuroimaging data. Classification analyses utilize these approaches to discriminate task conditions (Carlson et al. 2003; Mourão-Miranda et al. 2005) or to optimally discriminate patient groups with disorders such as Alzheimer’s disease or depression (Ford et al. 2003; Fu et al. 2008; Dai et al. 2012) to enable accurate classification of independent cases. In the context of functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) research, the objectives of classification often focus on identifying and validating neural markers of cognitive or disease states.

The goal of this study was to define a neurophenotype of cocaine addiction based upon altered patterns of neural network activity during a motor response inhibition task. We hypothesized that cocaine addiction is associated with specific and consistent deficits in multiple neural-processing networks in response to demands for inhibitory control with the expected outcome that the pattern of deficits enables the accurate classification of cocaine dependence. To test this hypothesis, 38 cocaine-dependent men and 27 healthy control men performed a stop-signal task while undergoing fMRI. We employed ICA in conjunction with linear discriminant analysis to identify, in a multivariate approach, neural networks that are differentially engaged in cocaine-dependent versus healthy control men across five stop-signal task conditions.

METHODS

Subjects
Thirty-eight cocaine-dependent men [40.8 ± 8.0 (mean ± standard deviation) years of age] and 27 healthy control men [30.9 ± 7.7 (mean ± standard deviation) years of age] participated in the study. Because of sex differences in neural-processing correlates of the inhibition of prepotent motor responses (Li et al. 2006a, 2009) and sex differences in affective, cognitive and treatment aspects of cocaine addiction (Brady & Randall 1999; Najavits & Lester 2008; Cotto et al. 2010), we chose to focus this study on males as the sex representing the majority of cocaine abuse cases (Office of Applied Studies 2004). Participants responded to local newspaper advertisements, flyers and advertisements displayed in Little Rock city buses. Subjects provided informed consent to participate in the study following a thorough explanation of study procedures. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Boards at Emory University and the University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences (UAMS). All subjects were assessed for Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition (DSM-IV) Axis I disorders by an experienced Masters-level psychologist using the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV (SCID-I; First et al. 2007).

Inclusion/exclusion criteria

For the Emory University site, men between the ages of 18 and 60 years were eligible for study participation. Cocaine-dependent men enrolled at this site had been enrolled in an abstinence-based treatment program for 2–4 weeks. At the UAMS site, cocaine-dependent men were non–treatment-seeking and were between the ages of 18 and 45 years. All cocaine users met DSM-IV criteria for current cocaine dependence according to SCID interview. Co-morbid alcohol and marijuana abuse or dependence were permitted for cocaine-dependent subjects only, enabling the generalization of results to the ‘real world’ problem of co-morbidity associated with cocaine dependence. Cocaine-dependent and healthy control subjects did not meet criteria for any other DSM-IV Axis I disorders with the exception that past histories of mood or anxiety disorders were not excluded. Recent drug use (cocaine, methamphetamine, amphetamine, opiate and cannabis) was detected by urinalysis on the day of the fMRI scan in all study subjects. A positive test for any drug of abuse was a criterion for exclusion for healthy comparison subjects, as well as cocaine-dependent subjects from the Emory University site. Participants were free of psychotropic medication use for at least 30 days and reported no major medical disorders.

fMRI task

Subjects performed a stop-signal task while undergoing fMRI. Go stimuli were letters of the alphabet to which subjects responded by pressing a single button with the index finger of their dominant hand. The stop signal was
a white square presented around the go stimulus after a short delay ['stop signal delay' (SSD)] in 75 of the 300 trials. The SSD was initially 250 ms and was performance-adjusted by 50 ms after each stop trial to achieve a successful stopping rate of approximately 50% (Aron & Poldrack 2006). The fixed intertrial interval was 2000 ms. Three rest periods lasting 20 seconds each were presented during the task.

Behavioral and clinical measures

Stop-signal reaction times (SSRT) were calculated as the percentile go trial reaction time corresponding to the percentage of errors of commission minus the mean SSD. To compensate for the possibility that a small percentage of successful stops were achieved by subject inattention, calculation of successful stopping rate in this equation was adjusted for the number of errors of omission on go trials ('misses'). Post-error slowing (PES) was calculated as the percent change in mean reaction time for go trials following an error of commission on stop trials relative to mean reaction for go trials not following a stop trial.

The 30-item Barratt Impulsiveness Scale (BIS) (Patton, Stanford & Barratt 1995) was administered to each subject to provide a measure of inhibitory control ability in daily functioning. Three second-order factor scores related to attentional, motor and non-planning impulsiveness were calculated.

fMRI acquisition

Twenty-nine cocaine-dependent men and 13 control subjects were scanned at the Brain Imaging Research Center (BIRC) at UAMS with a Philips Achieva 3T MRI (Philips Medical Systems, Amsterdam, the Netherlands). Nine cocaine-dependent men and 14 control subjects were scanned in the Biomedical Imaging Technology Center (BIRC) at Emory University with a Siemens Trio 3T MRI. The following parameters were used to acquire functional T2*-weighted echo-planar images on both scanners: 3 x 3 x 3-mm³ voxels, 34 slices, TR = 2000 ms, TE = 30 ms, FOV = 192 x 192 mm², flip angle = 90°, matrix = 64 x 64.

Anatomical images were acquired using a T1 MPRAGE sequence for the purpose of functional image registration and tissue segmentation (BIRC: matrix = 256 x 256, 160 slices, TR = 2600 ms, TE = 3.02 ms, FA = 8°, final resolution = 1 x 1 x 1 mm³; BIRC: matrix = 256 x 240, 176 slices, TR = 2300 ms, TE = 3.02 ms, FA = 8°, final resolution = 1 x 1 x 1 mm³).

fMRI data preprocessing

Images were preprocessed in Analysis of Functional Neuroimages software (Cox 1996). Preprocessing steps for functional images included slice time correction, deobliqueing, motion correction, despiking, alignment to the subject’s anatomical image, warping to Montreal Neurological Institute standardized space, removal of signal fluctuations in white matter and cerebral spinal fluid from voxel time courses, Gaussian smoothing at 6-mm full-width at half-maximum, and scaling to percent signal change.

ICA

A group ICA on stop-signal task fMRI time courses was performed to reduce the dimensionality of the dataset from hundreds of thousands of individual voxels to 30 independent components of activation. The ICA was conducted on all 65 subjects using the infomax algorithm in the Group ICA of fMRI Toolbox version 2 (GIFT; Calhoun et al. 2001) implemented in Matlab, solving for 30 components. The ICASSO tool within GIFT provided estimates of the iterative consistency of the component estimates (Correa, Adal & Calhoun 2007). Of analyses performed with 20, 30 and 35 components, the 30-component ICA demonstrated the best ICASSO estimates, provided predicted canonical neural-processing networks and separated out artifacts from putative neural networks. In addition to group spatial maps, the group ICA also provides time courses associated with each of the 30 components for each subject. In this regard, components are comparable with individual voxels and can be similarly subjected to general linear model analysis. For each subject, linear regression of the task design [successful stop trials, errors of commission (failed stop trials), post-successful stop go trials, post-error go trials, go trials (not following a stop trial) and misses] convolved with the statistical parametric mapping hemodynamic response function and controlling for six directions of head motion identified the relationship of each of these six stop-signal task conditions to each component time course (Calhoun et al. 2001). Beta estimates for each task condition, with the exception of misses, were retained for use as variables in discriminant analysis. Estimates from components attributed to head motion, physiological noise and other artifacts were omitted from further analyses. The 15 components contributing to discriminant analysis demonstrated anatomical correspondence to brain activations associated with stop-signal task performance (Aron & Poldrack 2006) and to components previously defined by ICA applications to fMRI stop-signal tasks data (Congdon et al. 2010; Zhang and Li, 2012).

Discriminant analysis

Because age and race significantly differed between the cocaine-dependent and control subjects, a subsample of 26 cocaine-dependent men and 18 controls were matched on these demographic variables and composed...
the training set (Table 2). Matching on these variables allowed for the development of a discriminant function for cocaine addiction that was not influenced by these factors. The remaining 12 cocaine-dependent men and 9 controls were set aside to test the ability of the discriminant function to classify an independent sample.

Stepwise variable selection to identify the subset of beta estimates for stop-signal task conditions from components of activation that best differentiated the 26 cocaine-dependent males and 18 healthy controls of the training set was performed with the Stepdisc function in SAS 9.3 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). At each step, any variables in the subset no longer meeting a significance level of 0.15 (Costanza & Affi 1979) for differentiating between the groups (analysis of covariance), covarying for the other variables in the subset, were removed; variables meeting a significance level of 0.15, covarying for the other variables in the subset, were added. When no other variable could be added or removed, the subset of variables selected by this process was used in discriminant analyses.

A linear discriminant function was calculated with the Discrim procedure in SAS to maximize the generalized squared distance between the cocaine-dependent and control groups. Prior probabilities were set to be equal. A cocaine addiction classification score and a control group classification score were calculated for each subject by inserting the subject’s beta estimates as independent variables in the equations for the linear discriminant function. The larger of these two scores determined group allocation in classification. Leave-one-out cross-validation (LOOCV) tested the ability of the variables to correctly classify the training sample. To test the generalizability of the classifier across image acquisition sites, an additional independent cross-validation was performed in which the sample from the UAMS site was used to classify the subjects from the Emory University site. Because variable selection was conducted on the training set, cross-validation provides a biased estimate of classification accuracy. To obtain an unbiased estimate of classification accuracy, we tested the ability of the linear discriminant function to classify the sample of 12 cocaine-dependent men and 9 controls, which were not included in either the variable selection or the computation of the discriminant function.

To explore the functional and clinical significance of the binary classifier, individual classification scores were tested in correlational analyses to explore whether the classifier was associated with task performance measures (SSRT, PES), impulsiveness (BIS) or number of years of cocaine use.

**RESULTS**

Subject demographics, clinical variables and task performance variables are summarized in Table 1. Overall, cocaine-dependent subjects were older (\(t = -4.98, P < 0.001\)), less educated (\(t = 6.02, P < 0.001\)), more likely to be African-American (\(\chi^2 = 16.2, P = 0.001\)) and more likely to have been scanned at UAMS (\(\chi^2 = 4.22, P = 0.04\)) compared with controls; there was no difference between these groups in SCID diagnoses of past depression or post-traumatic stress disorder. Cocaine-dependent and control subjects did not differ in stop-signal reaction time, go trial reaction time, stop signal delay, post-error slowing or successful stopping rate. Table 2 provides demographic, clinical and task performance variables for the subsample of subjects that made up the training set, for which cocaine-dependent and control men did not differ in age, race or MRI site, but still differed in education, depression symptomatology and impulsiveness. Following careful matching of variables within the training set, the test and training sets were not matched on age for the cocaine-dependent men (training: 38.0 years; test: 46.8 years; \(t = 3.58, P = 0.001\)) or control men (training: 34.1 years; test: 24.4 years; \(t = 3.77, P < 0.001\)). However, the training and test sets of subjects did not significantly differ in race or education for either group.

Of the 30 components identified by ICA, 15 were noise components attributed to motion and other artifacts, whereas the other 15 represented a diverse array of networks of distributed brain activation (Supporting Information Fig. S1), including those attributed to motor, visual, cognitive and emotional processing based on their spatial maps. For each subject, beta estimates were obtained for the five different stop-signal task conditions (i.e. go trials, successful stop trials, post-successful stop go trials, errors of commission, post-error go trials) for each of these 15 non-noise components (75 variables total). Of these 75 variables, the stepwise variable selection procedure conducted with the training set identified 12 variables derived from nine different components with potential to discriminate cocaine-dependent and control subjects (Table 3). Six variables were associated with the successful stop condition, one variable with the error condition, three variables with the go condition (not following a stop trial), and two variables with post-error go trials. Mean beta estimates for control subjects for each of the nine components across all five stop signal task conditions are depicted in Fig. 1.

The linear discriminant function (classification function coefficients) for cocaine dependence produced in the training set is presented in Table 3. Group allocation was determined by the larger of the control or cocaine-dependent classification scores, obtained by adding the
intercept to the sum of the products of the coefficients and the corresponding independent variables. LOOCV correctly classified 89.5% (39/44) of subjects with 84.6% (22/26) sensitivity and 94.4% (17/18) specificity (Table 4). The LOOCV estimate of classifier sensitivity exceeded that attributed to chance accuracy (59.1%). To assess an effect of site on classifier performance, cross-validation of the linear discriminant function defined in the 30 subject training set subsample from UAMS correctly classified 91.7% (13/14) of the training set subsample from Emory University with 83.3% (5/6) sensitivity and 100% (8/8) specificity.

The classification accuracy of the linear discriminant function in the independent test set was 81.9% (17/21) with 75% (9/12) sensitivity and 88.9% (8/9) specificity (Table 4). The independent estimate of classifier sensitivity exceeded that attributed to chance accuracy (57.1%).

The classification score for controls was positively correlated with the BIS motor impulsiveness score for control subjects ($r = 0.48, P = 0.02$), but not for cocaine-dependent subjects ($r = 0.09$, not significant (NS)). Conversely, the classification score for cocaine dependence was correlated with the motor impulsiveness score for individuals in the cocaine-dependent group ($r = 0.43, P = 0.01$), but not in the control group ($r = 0.06$, NS). The cocaine dependence classification score also correlated with the lifetime number of years of cocaine use in the cocaine-dependent sample ($r = 0.52, P = 0.004$). However, years of cocaine use was not itself associated with BIS motor impulsiveness ($r = 0.18$, NS), and a regression model including both variables as predictors indicated that they independently predicted the cocaine addiction classification score ($R^2$ adjusted = 0.50; BIS motor: $t = 3.28, P = 0.003$; years of use: $t = 3.57, P = 0.002$). BIS nonplanning and attentional impulsiveness factor scores, stop-signal reaction time, and post-error slowing were not correlated with either classification score for cocaine-dependent or control men.

**DISCUSSION**

This study provides a novel, comprehensive analysis of cocaine addiction-related neural network alterations associated with multiple subprocesses involved in inhibitory control of motor behavior. We used ICA as a
dimensionality reduction tool to address the complex feature space of fMRI datasets and identified spatially independent networks of neural activation associated with performance of a stop-signal task. The statistical association of components of brain activation, rather than individual voxels, was defined for each task condition. We incorporated component beta coefficients for stop-signal task conditions into a multivariate group-level analysis.

Table 2  Characteristics of training sample.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>Cocaine</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n = 18</td>
<td>n = 26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>34.1 (7.3)</td>
<td>38.0 (7.6)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African-American</td>
<td>10 (55.6%)</td>
<td>21 (80.8%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>7 (38.9%)</td>
<td>5 (19.2%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1 (5.6%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>15.2 (2.2)</td>
<td>12.1 (1.1)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI scan site (Emory, UAMS)</td>
<td>8, 10</td>
<td>6, 20</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifetime major depressive disorder</td>
<td>3 (16.7%)</td>
<td>8 (30.8%)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifetime post-traumatic stress disorder</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (7.7%)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol lifetime (abuse, dependence)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3, 11</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol lifetime (abuse, dependence)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>4, 10</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol lifetime (abuse, dependence)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2, 7</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol lifetime (abuse, dependence)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1, 5</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years of cocaine use</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>11.5 (7.2)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method (smoke, snort)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>19, 7</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beck depression inventory</td>
<td>3.9 (4.2)</td>
<td>12.1 (10.1)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIS attentional impulsiveness</td>
<td>13.9 (3.3)</td>
<td>16.7 (4.2)</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIS motor impulsiveness</td>
<td>20.3 (4.1)</td>
<td>24.7 (5.6)</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIS non-planning impulsiveness</td>
<td>21.4 (6.2)</td>
<td>28.5 (4.6)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stop signal reaction time (ms)</td>
<td>196 (53)</td>
<td>195 (60)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean go trial reaction time (ms)</td>
<td>708 (238)</td>
<td>752 (175)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean stop signal delay (ms)</td>
<td>506 (233)</td>
<td>546 (165)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-error slowing (%)</td>
<td>13.9 (5.2)</td>
<td>8.5 (7.5)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Successful stop rate (%)</td>
<td>48.4 (11.6)</td>
<td>51.0 (11.0)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Demographic, psychiatric, personality and stop-signal task behavioral measures for the 18 control men and 26 cocaine-dependent men in the training set. Values displayed as group mean (standard deviation) or number of observations (percentage). Significant P values (P < 0.05) corresponding with the appropriate t-test or \( \chi^2 \) test of group differences are reported where applicable.

Table 3  Linear discriminant function for cocaine dependence.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IC #</th>
<th>Network label</th>
<th>Task condition</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>Cocaine</th>
<th>t</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Precuneus network</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>–8.49</td>
<td>–1.87</td>
<td>6.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Right frontal-parietal network</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>–3.55</td>
<td>–0.09</td>
<td>–5.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Lateral motor cortex</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>–2.86</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>4.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Lateral motor cortex</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td>–0.86</td>
<td>–4.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Dorsomedial prefrontal cortex</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>–0.39</td>
<td>–2.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Supplementary motor area</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>–0.50</td>
<td>–2.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Inferior frontal junction, intraparietal sulcus</td>
<td>PEG</td>
<td>–2.50</td>
<td>–0.65</td>
<td>2.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Posterior insula/superior temporal gyrus</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>–1.78</td>
<td>–0.12</td>
<td>2.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Orbital inferior frontal cortex/insula</td>
<td>PEG</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>–0.29</td>
<td>–2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Posterior insula/superior temporal gyrus</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>–0.82</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>2.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Amygdala, striatum</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>–1.22</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Inferior frontal junction, intraparietal sulcus</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>–0.19</td>
<td>–1.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Constant and classification function coefficients for each variable of the linear discriminant function to determine classification into the control group or the cocaine group. \( t \) values represent the statistical strength of each variable for differentiating between the groups, covarying for the other variables in the function. E = errors of commission on stop trials; G = go trials; IC = independent component; PEG = post-error go trials; PSSG = post-successful stop go trials; SS = successful stops.
Figure 1  Independent components discriminating between cocaine-dependent men and healthy control men. Nine components (a–i) contributed to the classifier. Mean beta coefficients for the control group for go trials (G), successful stops (SS), post-successful stop go trials (PSSG), errors of commission on stop trials (E), post-error go trials (PEG) are displayed for each component. Arrows designate those task conditions for which the component discriminated between the groups, where \( \downarrow \) denotes cocaine-dependent < control men and \( \uparrow \) denotes cocaine-dependent > control men. (a) component 1, right frontal-parietal network; (b) component 4, lateral motor cortex; (c) component 5, posterior insula/superior temporal gyrus; (d) component 7, supplementary motor area; (e) component 9, dorsomedial prefrontal cortex; (f) component 11, precuneus network; (g) component 19, inferior frontal junction and intraparietal sulcus; (h) component 25, orbital inferior frontal cortex/insula; (i) component 30, amygdala and striatum.
using stepwise variable selection, linear discriminant analysis and binary classification approaches. The result was a pattern classifier for cocaine addiction with high sensitivity and specificity in both cross-validation and for an independent sample. The 12 variables contributing to the classifier were derived from nine independent components and from four different stop-signal task conditions.

Successful stop trials

The variable with the greatest discriminant power was for activation during successful stop trials of a component of activation (#11) centered in the precuneus and involving activations in the bilateral middle occipital gyri, bilateral supramarginal gyri, bilateral dorsal superior frontal gyri and anterior cingulate cortex. This network was less deactivated during successful stop trials for cocaine-dependent compared with control men. Hypoactivity related to cocaine dependence during response inhibition trials for either go/no-go or stop-signal tasks has previously been reported for some of the regions of this network (bilateral supramarginal gyrus, left superior frontal gyrus and anterior cingulate cortex) (Kaufman et al. 2003; Hester & Garavan 2004; Li et al. 2007). Decreased activation of the precuneus has been associated with practice effects (Sakai et al. 1998; Goldstein et al. 2007), and cocaine addiction was associated with diminished task habituation-related deactivation of the precuneus in a go/no-go task with monetary incentives (Goldstein et al. 2007). These results suggest that cocaine dependence is associated with the impaired ability to transition to more efficient and automated task performance processes.

A frontal-parietal component (#1) associated with predominantly right hemisphere activity in the dorsolateral and ventrolateral prefrontal cortex, anterior insula, middle temporal gyrus, dorsomedial prefrontal cortex, precuneus and right inferior parietal cortex also significantly differentiated the groups. For this component, activation during successful stop trials was greater in control than cocaine-dependent men, perhaps reflecting the association of cocaine dependence with deficits in the cognitive regulation of motor, motivational, interoceptive or attentional responses (Kober et al. 2010; Li et al. 2006b; Zhang and Li, 2012). Cocaine-dependent men also demonstrated relatively diminished activity during successful stop trials for a dorsomedial prefrontal cortex component (#9) that included activation of the bilateral inferior frontal gyri, angular gyri, middle temporal gyri and posterior cingulate cortex. Activity in both of these networks during successful stop trials was significantly negatively correlated with SSRTs (component 1: \( r = -0.33, P = 0.007 \); component 9: \( r = -0.36, P = 0.003 \)), corroborating the importance of these networks for inhibitory control and the inference of deficits in their functional engagement associated with cocaine dependence.

A limbic-striatal component (#30) of activation of the amygdala, hippocampus, caudate, and putamen was more engaged during successful stopping for cocaine-dependent compared with control men. Additionally, a component (#5) of activation of the bilateral posterior insula and superior temporal gyrus was also more active in cocaine-dependent men during successful response inhibition. These regions have also been implicated in craving responses to drug cues (Kilts et al. 2001; Volkow et al. 2010; Potenza et al. 2012) and may represent a general marker of impaired control of emotional and motivational responses in addiction.

Go trials

During go trials not following a stop trial, motor regulation network activity most differentiated the groups, a finding consistent with the role of motor pathways in go trials (Congdon et al. 2010). A motor network of activation (#4) involving the lateral primary motor cortex and pre-supplementary motor area (pre-SMA), likely associated with executing motor plans, exhibited greater activation in cocaine-dependent men versus controls during go trials. For the same task condition, a supplementary motor area (SMA) network of activation (#7) of areas involved in motor planning was more deactivated in cocaine-dependent individuals. These group differences suggest that less inhibitory control of prepotent behaviors in cocaine-dependent men during go trials drives the binary classification. However, the discriminant function also indicated greater suppression of primary motor-pre-SMA network (#4) activity in cocaine-dependent versus control men during successful stop trials, an enhancement that may enable the overcoming of functional deficits in other networks and preserve inhibitory control ability.
Errors of commission
As with the successful stop condition, cocaine-dependent men also demonstrated greater activity in the posterior insula network (#5) relative to controls during errors on stop trials. The increased activity of this network across both errors and successful stop trials may reflect an enhanced sensory salience of the stop signal.

Post-error go trials
Cocaine-dependent participants demonstrated less deactivation compared with controls of a spatial attention network (#19) involving the bilateral intraparietal sulci, posterior inferior/middle frontal gyri, superior frontal gyri and posterior cingulate cortex for go trials following an unsuccessful stop trial. In addition, a network of activation involving bilateral orbital/inferior frontal gyri (#25) was less active in cocaine-dependent men for post-error go trials. These group differences corroborate evidence for reduced response to errors of commission in cocaine dependence, perhaps reflecting a lesser awareness of errors (Hester et al. 2007).

In contrast to prior response inhibition studies (Fillmore & Rush 2002; Li et al. 2006b; Ersche et al. 2012) the sample of cocaine-dependent men demonstrated motor inhibition ability similar to controls, although cocaine dependence was associated with the utilization of different neural-processing strategies to achieve this outcome. Neural-processing differences in the absence of stop-signal task performance deficits have previously been reported for cocaine-dependent individuals (Li et al. 2007), corroborating the improved sensitivity of functional neuroimaging over behavioral measures of underlying processes (Costafreda et al. 2011; Rose & Donohoe 2012). Furthermore, the neural-processing differences identified by this study may translate to practical individual or group differences not readily detected by task performance, such as treatment outcome or compulsive drug-use behavior. The correlation of the BIS motor impulsiveness factor score with the classification score for cocaine dependence supports this contention.

The motor impulsiveness factor of the BIS encompasses items related to acting without thinking or living in the moment (Patton et al. 1995). Thus, the selective association of classification scores with this measure indicates that the classifier reflects the impact of cocaine dependence on these specific decision processes and that deficits in neural-processing networks underlying impulsive behavior represent biomarkers of cocaine addiction and addiction-related behavioral impairments. For example, follow-up and treatment studies have demonstrated that greater impulsivity predicts poorer treatment retention for cocaine-dependent individuals (Moeller et al. 2001; Patkar et al. 2004). High impulsivity has also been associated with a genetic predisposition for drug addiction (Ersche et al. 2010).

The extent to which the derived classifier reflects patterns of altered functional brain organization that preceded drug use—and therefore could identify individuals at risk for cocaine dependence—cannot be addressed by this cross-sectional study. However, previous work has identified adolescent inhibitory control-related behavioral (Tarter et al. 2004; Nigg et al. 2006) and neural-processing (Norman et al. 2011) deficits as predictors of future drug-use problems, as well as response inhibition-related deficits in brain structure as familial risk factors for stimulant addiction (Ersche et al. 2012). On the other hand, there is also evidence that a greater extent of cocaine exposure is associated with worse inhibitory control ability (Colzato, van den Wildenberg & Hommel 2007). This latter association is consistent with the finding that, for drug-dependent subjects, the cocaine dependence classification score was positively correlated with years of cocaine use. An integrated theory proposes that chronic drug use exacerbates preexisting deficits in inhibitory control and impulsivity (Garavan & Stout 2005; Verdejo-García, Lawrence & Clark 2008; Ersche et al. 2010), and thus these cognitive impairments both increase susceptibility to drug-use problems and serve to maintain drug addiction.

The high classification accuracy in the suboptimally matched independent test set demonstrates the robustness of the classifier. Furthermore, the ability of the subsample of the training set from UAMS to classify the subsample from Emory University suggests that the classifier is reliable across sites as well as across diverse demographics. In addition, this cross-site validation indicates that the classifier is not influenced by treatment or treatment motivation, as these were significant differences in the cocaine-dependent samples across sites. Therefore, the classifier seems to represent consistent differences between cocaine-dependent men and non-drug using men that supersede the effects of variables such as treatment or recent drug use.

Limitations
Although we successfully used task-related fMRI data to train a binary classifier with good sensitivity and specificity for cocaine addiction, the study had several limitations. The subjects in the test set were included in the group ICA, which was used to calculate the variables (beta coefficients) that were entered into the classifier. Even though the actual beta coefficients calculated from subjects in the test set were not included in the variable selection process that built the classifier, the inclusion of these subjects’ data in the ICA could have indirectly biased the subsequent independent analyses of binary classification accuracy. In addition, the current dataset...
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SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article:

Figure S1 An independent component analysis identified 15 spatially independent, non-noise components of neural network activation. Stepwise variable selection identified a subset of components (1, 4, 5, 7, 9, 11, 19, 25, 30) for which task-related activation discriminated cocaine-dependent from non-drug abusing men.